

Corso di Aggiornamento A.I.N.V. **"LA DISAUTONOMIA NELLA PRATICA CLINICA: diagnosi e strategie terapeutiche"** 4 Ottobre 2024 - Treia (MC)

II Sessione

Novità nella gestione e nella terapia delle patologie del SN Autonomo

Ruolo protettivo dei SGLT2 inibitori Vincenza Spallone



Endocrinology – Department of Systems Medicine



In the last 2 years I had financial relationship (speaker's honorarium, board member, advisory panel) with the following companies:

- Meda Pharma S.p.A., Italy
- Nevro Medical Ltd., UK
- Theras Lifetech S.r.l., Italy
- Wörwag Pharma GmbH & Co, Germany



Ruolo protettivo dei SGLT2 inibitori

Agenda

- Il contesto: impatto epidemiologico e prognostico della neuropatia autonomica cardiovascolare (CAN) nel diabete
- Pertinenza della CAN alla malattia cardiovascolare e renale
- Dal beneficio nei trial clinici ai meccanismi fisiopatologici: il ruolo del SNA nelle azioni dei SGLT2i
- Prospettive terapeutiche: farmaci protettivi sul SNA nel diabete



Ruolo protettivo dei SGLT2 inibitori

Agenda

- Il contesto: impatto epidemiologico e prognostico della neuropatia autonomica cardiovascolare (CAN) nel diabete
- Pertinenza della CAN alla malattia cardiovascolare e renale
- Dal beneficio nei trial clinici ai meccanismi fisiopatologici: il ruolo del SNA nelle azioni dei SGLT2i
- Prospettive terapeutiche: farmaci protettivi sul SNA nel diabete



Global, regional, and national burden of disorders affecting the nervous system, 1990–2021: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2021

GBD 2021 Nervous System Disorders Collaborators*

Lancet Neurol. 2024 Apr;23(4):344-381

As **the leading cause of disability-adjusted life-years** (DALYs), affecting more than 40% of the global population, **nervous system health loss** should be a public health priority.

The 10 conditions with the highest age-standardised DALYs in 2021 were

- 1. stroke,
- 2. neonatal encephalopathy,
- 3. migraine,
- 4. Alzheimer's disease and other dementias,
- 5. diabetic neuropathy,
- 6. meningitis,
- 7. epilepsy,
- 8. neurological complications due to preterm birth,
- 9. autism spectrum disorder,
- 10. nervous system cancer.

Diabetic neuropathy the 5th neurological condition causing chronic disability globally.

Prevalence of diabetic cardiovascular autonomic neuropathy (CAN)



Eleftheriadou A et al *Diabetologia*. 2021;64:288-303 Ratzmann KP et al *J Diabetes* Complications 1991; 5: 1-5. Toyry JP et al *Diabetes* 1996;45: 308-315. Zoppini G et al *Diabetes* Care. 2015;38:1487-93. Ko SH et al *Diabetes* Care. 2006;31: 1832-1836 Ziegler D et al Diabetologia. 2015;58:1118-28. Ziegler D et al Diabetes Care 1992; 15: 908-911 Spalione V et al Diabetes Metab Res Rev 2011;27:639–653 Braffett BH et al Diabetes 2020;69:1000-1010 Jaiswall M et al Pediatr Diabetes. 2018;19:680-689 Meta-analysis of 19 studies: 3679 patients with and 12 420 without cardiovascular autonomic neuropathy (CAN) for the outcome of allcause mortality and 16 studies (2875 patients with and 11 722 without CAN) for cardiovascular events.

	CAL	4	Cont	Interior		Rink Ratio	Rick Ratio
Riedy or Subgroup	Events.	Tetal	Events	Total	Weight	N-H. Randem, 95% CI	M-H, Random, 95N CI
attrup et al 2006 [40]	69	281	6	107	5.6%	43811.06.9.78	
Swm at al 2001 [48]	105	371	- 29	243	6.05	2 37 [5 01, 3 40]	
Swing at al 1976 (52)	1.0	20	0	17	1.7%	18.00 (1 17, 288 20)	
Swing et al 1980 (34)	221	40		33	5.5%	3.4611.47,8.181	
ermendy et al 1991 [49]	12	30	1	28	2.7%	8.20 [1.29, 65.72]	
an et al 2001 (15)	13	78	2	68	1.0%	6.54 (1.55, 27.57)	
(4kp et al 2006 [42]	53	-291	1	100	4.6%	4.76 (2.17, 21.08)	
Noverns et al 1995 (50)	110	417		128	5.7%	5.63 12.54, 12.49	
"Brien et al 1991 [38]	21	84	21	422	6.4%	5.50 [2.20, 9.47]	
'ep-Busal et al 2010 (44)	18	572	291	7565	6.28	175(124,239)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
00-But at at 2017 [31]		131	15	1262	4.7%	2.96 [0.98, 8.96]	
(£72 5992 to nextract		- 15	1	- 25	2.6%	8.00 [1.06, 60:63]	
artitum et al 1990 [37]	20	71		30	1.0%	2.60 [0.95, 7.07]	
awichi et al 1996 (52)	16	26	110	55	6.5%	2.14(1.29.3.53)	
awicki et al 1999 (53)	58		100	132	7.1%	0.9110.77.1.088	-
bedamah-Muthu et al 2008 (29)	163	941	34	1846	6.7%	2.92 (2.62, 5.88)	-
regilier et al 2000 (545	10	75	10	741	5.5%	3.2111.39, 7.425	
Autosevic et al 2012 (46)	-24	51	3	25	5.5%	2:35 (1.02. 5-43)	
legler et al 2008[23]	:30	79	1.8	.90	6.5%	1.0010.98.2.58	
atal (95% CI)		3679		12429	100.0%	1.17 (2.11, 4.78)	
otal events	705		567				1.00

CAN for all-cause mortality RR: **3.17**

RR for all-cause mortality was

- in type 1 > in type 2 diabetes (3.76 Vs. 1.94)
- with a definite CAN > early CAN (3.88 Vs. RR 2.3)

Chowdhury M et al BMJ Open Diab Res Care 2021;9:e002480



Updated from Spallone V et al on behalf of the Toronto Consensus Panel on Diabetic Neuropathy. Diabetes Metab Res Rev 27:639-653,2011

Cardiac autonomic neuropathy and risk of incident heart failure among adults with type 2 diabetes

Kaze AD, Yuyun MF, Erqou S, Fonarow GC, Echouffo-Tcheugui JB.

Eur J Heart Fail. 2022;24:634-641.





Abnormalities associated with CAN at the level of cardiovascular system, kidney and peripheral vascular function

Potential pathogenetic links between CAN and mortality/morbidity



Predictive value of CAN for progression of diabetic nephropathy

Author (year)	N° and Type	CAN testing	Follow-up (years)	Kidney function outcomes
Sundkvist (1993)	35 with T1D	DB, Tilt test	10	CAN predictor of Δ GFR And associated with \downarrow GFR
Weinrauch (1998)	26 with T1D with proteinuria	DB, LS, VM	1	VM predictor of Δ creatinine and renal failure
Burger (2002)	23 with T1D with macro	DB, LS, VM, HRV indices	1	HRV indexes associated with Δ GFR ≥8 ml/min
Forsèn (2004)	58 with T1D	DB, Tilt test, OH	7-14	DB associated with 14 years UAE OH predictor of 7 years Δ GFR
Astrup (2006)	388 with T1D with micro-macro	DB	10	DB not predictor of Δ GFR
Maguire (2007)	137 with T1D with normo	Pupillary light test	12	Small pupil size predictor of microalbuminuria
Kim (2009)	156 with T2D with normo	DB, LS, VM, OH	9	DB predictor of Δ eGFR
Brotman (2010) ARIC Study	13241 (1523 with diabetes)	HR, HRV indices	16	HR and HRV predictors of ESRD
Tahrani (2014)	204 with T2D without ESRD	DB, LS, VM, OH	2.5	CAN predictor of eGFR decline
Orlov (2015) !st Joslin Kidney Study	204 with T1D with normo 166 with T1D with micro	MCR during DB	14	MCR<20 predictor of eGFR loss (OR 4.09) and of CKD stage ≥ 3
Yun (2015)	755 with T2D without CKD	DB, LS, VM, OH	9.6	Confirmed CAN predictor of CKD stage \geq 3 (HR 2.62)
Bjerre-Christensen (2021)	329 with T1D	DB, LS, VM	6.1	Confirmed CAN predictor of albuminuria progression (+7.8% per year) not of eGFR
Yun (2022)	2033 with T2D	DB, LS, VM, OH	2.9	Confirmed CAN predictor of incident CKD (HR 1.56)
Tang (2024) PERL /ACCORD Study	469 with T1D 7973 with T2D	HRV indices	3.2 4.9	HRV predictor of eGFR decline HR 2.11-2.5 (T1D); HR 1.39-1.54 (T2D)

DB: deep breathing; LS: lying to standing; VM: Valsalva manoeuvre; HRV: heart rate variability; MCR: Mean Circular Resultant; GFR: glomerular filtration rate; ESRD: end-stage renal disease; CKD: Chronic Kidney Disease; OH, orthostatic hypotension; UAE, urinary albumin excretion;

CAN is a progression promoter of diabetic nephropathy in 13 out of 14 studies

Modified from Spallone V. Diabetes Metab J. 2019;43:3-30.

Predictive value of nondipping on the progression of diabetic nephropathy

Author	Type (n)	ABPM parameter	Follow-up (years)	Progression outcome
Poulsen (1994)	44 with T1D and normo	Night/day ratio	3.1	No for microalbuminuria
Farmer (1998)	26 with proteinuria	Non-dipping	6	RR 2.7 for GFR
Nakano (1999)	257 with T2D and normo-micro- macro	Rising	4.5	RR 16.2 for haemodialysis
Lurbe (2002)	75 with T1D and normo	Non-dipping	5.2	RR 1.9 for microalbuminuria
Lengyel (2003)	53 with T1D and normo	Δ DBP	5	Predictor of albuminuria
Palmas (2008)	957 with T2D and normo-micro	Rising	2.5	HR 1.68 for progression
Knudsen (2009)	112 with T2D	ΔBP	9.5	HR 1.06 for progression
Marcovecchio (2009)	509 young with T1D	ΔBP	2.2	No for microalbuminuria

• Debatable evidence for the development of microalbuminuria in patients with T1D

•Stronger evidence for the progression to renal failure or dialysis in patients with T2D

Mechanisms of the association between CAN and nephropathy



0.007

0.012

P < 0.0001

0.5

11 12 13 15 16 17 18 Ferritin (μ/l)

Spallone V et al Diabetes. 1993:42:1745-52 Spallone V et al Diabetes Care. 1994:17:578-84. Spallone V et al Diabet Med. 2004 ;21:1174-80.

Multifaceted pattern of *zones of influence* of autonomic dysfunction in diabetes



Spallone V, Valensi P. Diabetes Metab. 2021;47:101224.

Ruolo protettivo dei SGLT2 inibitori

Agenda

- Il contesto: impatto epidemiologico e prognostico della neuropatia autonomica cardiovascolare (CAN) nel diabete
- Pertinenza della CAN alla malattia cardiovascolare e renale
- Dal beneficio nei trial clinici ai meccanismi fisiopatologici: il ruolo del SNA nelle azioni dei SGLT2i
- Prospettive terapeutiche: farmaci protettivi sul SNA nel diabete





Presumed mechanisms of SGLT2i actions

	Osmotic diuresis and natriuresis	
	Direct cardiac effects	SG of
	↓ Sympathetic nervous system	Ma
Heart failure	↑ Myocardial efficiency	
	↑ O2 delivery through EPO stimulation	
	\downarrow Inflammation and oxidative stress	
	Metabolic effects	
	Metabolic effects	
	\downarrow BP and arterial stiffness	
Kidney disease	Restoration of tubuloglomerular feedback	
	↓ Workload regarding ATP production	
	\downarrow Inflammation, fibrosis and oxidative stre	ss
	↓ Uric acid	

'The unexpected and impressive reductions in important clinical endpoints observed in large-scale clinical trials ... have led investigators from the bedside back to the bench to improve the understanding of the drugs in this class and elucidate their mechanisms of action.' Zelniker TA & Braunwald E. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2020;75:435-447.

GLT2 overexpressed in cardiomyocytes explanted heart from diabetic

arfella R et al Pharmacol Res. 2022;184:106448

SGLT2i and Sympathetic Nervous System share the same target cells



Interaction between SGLT2i and Sympathetic Nervous System: preclinical studies



A crosstalk between SNS and SGLT2 regulation with sympathetic upregulation of SGLT2 and sympatho-inhibitory effect of SGLT2 is

Matthews WB et al J Hypertens 2017; 35:2059–2068; Herat LY et al JACC Basic Transl Sci. 2020;5(2):169-179; Gueguen C et al Diabetologia 2020;63:1424-1434; Rafik K et al Diabetologia 2015;58:2885–98

Interaction between SGLT2i and Sympathetic Nervous System: clinical studies



Kimmerly DS et al Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol. 2002;282:H645-55.; Ryan KL et al Front Physiol 2012;3:110.; Jordan J et al J Am Soc Hypertens. 2017;11:604-612.; Sano M et al. J Diabetes Investig. 2018 May;9(3):638-641; Garg V et al Metabol Open. 2020;7:100039.

Spallone V. Diabetes Metab J. 2019;43:3-30.

Multifactorial pathogenesis of nondipping in diabetes: the main role of sympathovagal unbalance



Interaction between Sympathetic Nervous System and SGLT2i: effects on circadian BP profile



Takeshige Y et al Hypertens Res. 2016 Jun;39(6):415-22; Rahman A et al. Clin Exp Pharmacol Physiol 2017; 44:522–5; Chilton R et al Diabetes Obes Metab. 2017;19:1620-1624; Baker WL et al J Am Heart Assoc. 2017;6:e005686; Kario K et al Circulation 2019;139:2089-9; Ferdinand KC et al Circulation. 2019;139:2098-2109; Georgianos PI et al Diabetes Care. 2019;42:693-700.

Spallone V. *Diabetes Metab J. 2019;43:3-30.* Spallone V and Valensi P. *Diabetes Metab 2021;47:101224.*

SGLT2i and risk of symptomatic OH 27 RCTs (12,960 participants with T2D)



Baker WL et al J Am Soc Hypertens 2014;8:262-275

SGLT2i and risk of OH events 16 RCTs (12,749 participants with T2D)

	Intervet	1000	Contr	bef.		Filsk Ratio	Risk Rafes	
Stury or Sebaroas	Events	Tatal	Events	Total	Weiket	M-H, Random, 95% CI	M-H, Random, 85% Cl	
2.1.1 dvpagiflozin								
Balley 2010	2	408	1	137	8.0%	0.67 (0.06, 7.32)		
Balley 2018	1	199	1	75	4.5%	0.38 (0.02, 5.95)		
Vothies 2015	-1	109		109	3.4%	2.00 (0.12, 72.04)		-
McMurray 2019	2	2373	5	2371	12.8%	0.40 (0.08, 2.06)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Man Seman 2016	31	59	5	53	35.1%	1.87 (0.73, 5.30)		
Weber 2018	1	302	0	311	3.4%	3.09(0.13, 75.53)		_
Subtetal (95% CB		3450		3055	65.0%	1.22 (0.55, 2.52)	-	
Total events	18		32					
Heterogeneitr Tau#= 0.	00 ChP=	4.25.41	=5(P=)	0.415.7	#0%			
Teatfor overall effect Z	0.53 (***	0.59)	1					
2.1.2 canagifforin								
Bodie 2013	3	477	0	237	3.9%	3.49 (0.18, 67.20)		-
Ciefadu 2013	- ÷	060	0	482	3.3%	1.50 00.06.08.640		
inagaki 2014	9	179	0	93	3.7%	2.63 (0.13, 54 13)		-
Lavalle-Occurater 2013	ô	735	1 1	300	1.1%	0.17 10.01 4.071		
Rohernthaner 2013	0	377	14	376	3.44	0 33 10 01 4 191		
Sector 2012	2	291	'n	192	1.7%	2.45 0 17 50 90		-
Vale 2013		179	0	- 50	3.4%	1.52 (0.06.34 80)		
Solution (99%) CD		3306	1.07	10.18	24.8%	1,22,10,38, 3,951		
Tytal purchs				1000		tree larged sound	3 S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	
Heterogenetic Tau#= 0	00 ChP+	1 09 ef	= 6 (P =)	1 496.7	= 0%			
Test for overall effect Z-	• 0.35 (P =	0.745	101-1		1022			
2.1.3 ipraglifiszin		2024	o	-				
inoue 2018	1	- 24	0	24	3,4%	3,60 (0.13, 70.16)		
Subtotal (95% CI)		-24	R	:24	3.4%	3.00 [0.13, 70.16]		
Total events	1		0					
reterogeneity: Not sppl	CNDIE							
Test for overall effect Z -	0.88 (P=	0.490						
mselligetet 1.2.5								
Kaku 2014		173	0	- 54	2.4%	0.96 (0.04, 23.79)		
Subtelui (SS% CB)	- 82	173	3 12	56	3.4%	e'ae loroe' 53'5al		
Total events	2207370		0					
Heterogeneity: Not appli	C9810	1999						
Test for overall effect Z:	10.01 (P =	0.990						
2.1.5 empagellitorin	224	250	0 50	201	012240	1		
TRikianen 2015	0	562	1.1	271	3.4%	0.16 (0.01, 4.01)		
Subtetal (95% CI)		552		271	3.4%	0.16 [0.01, 4.01]		
Total events	0		1.1					
Heterogeneity: Not appli	cable							
Testfor overall effect 2.	1.11#+	0.27)						
Fetal (95% CI)		7505		\$244	100,0%	1.17 (0.65, 2.09)	+	
Total suggests	29		15				2 2 2	
COMPLEXIES.	00.067+1	9.17, df	*15/P+	0.87%	P=0%		242 41 4	100
Heterogeneity Tau ⁴ = 0.								- T
Histerogeneite Tau ^a = 0. Test for overall effect Z -	0.52 (P =	0.61)					Employ International Engran Internal	

Figure 3. Forest plot of different SGLT2 inhibitors versus control for orthostatic hypotension.

Rong X et al *Diab Vasc Dis Res. 2020;17:1479164120953625*.

Drug-induced orthostatic hypotension: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials

Bhanu C, Nimmons D, Petersen I, Orlu M, Davis D, Hussain H, Magammanage S, Walters K.

PLoS Med. 2021 Nov 9;18(11):e1003821.

Anti-diabetic sodium-glucose co-transporter 2 (SGLT-2) inhibitors Drug Placebo Odds Ratio Odds Ratio Events Total Events Total Weight M-H, Fixed, 95% CI M-H, Fixed, 95% CI Study or Subgroup 113 Bailey (SGLT2-i) 39 0.4% 1.04 [0.10, 10.27] 3 477 Bode (SGLT2-i) 3 237 0.2% 3.50 [0.18, 68.11] 0 462 Cefalu (SGLT2-i) 95 460 79 18.3% 1.26 [0.91, 1.76] Leiter (SGLT2) 2 833 5 816 1.5% 0.39 [0.08, 2.02] Sha (SGLT2-i) 2 48 0 15 0.2% 1.67 [0.08, 36.64] Sjostrom (SGLT2-i; htn) 115 662 98 631 24.3% 1.14 [0.85, 1.54] Sjostrom (SGLT2-i) 186 1632 153 1591 40.2% 1.21 [0.96, 1.52] 392 Steniof (SGLT2-i) 2 0 192 0.2% 2.46 [0.12, 51.59] Tikkanen (SGLT2-i) 143 518 51 254 14.5% 1.52 [1.06, 2.18] -179 0 90 0.2% Yale (SGLT2-I) 1 1.52 [0.06, 37.71] Total (95% CI) 5314 4327 100.0% 1.24 [1.08, 1.43] Total events 552 387 Heterogeneity: Chi² = 4.19, df = 9 (P = 0.90); I² = 0% 0.01 0.1 10 100 Test for overall effect: Z = 2.99 (P = 0.003) Favours [experimental] Favours [control] Drug Odds ratio (95% CI) Ρ SGLT2i 1.24 (1.08-1.43) 0.003

SGLT2i and OH risk

Conclusions: Drugs targeting multiple parts of the BP reflex pathway causing OH may carry cumulative risk, suggesting that **individuals with polypharmacy could benefit from routine postural BP monitoring.**

Methods of investigation for cardiac autonomic dysfunction in human research studies

Bernardi L, Spallone V, Stevens M, Hilsted J, Frontoni S, Pop-Busui R, Ziegler D, Kempler P, Freeman R, Low P, Tesfaye S, Valensi P; Toronto Consensus Panel on Diabetic Neuropathy Diabetes Metab Res Rev. 2011;27:654-64.



HRV assessment provides information on autonomic – parasympathetic and sympathetic – modulation of the cardiovascular system Reduced HRV has prognostic value after MI and in the general and diabetic population.

Trials with SGLT2i and autonomic measures as outcome

Author (year)	Population	Design	GLT2i	Follow-up	Autonomic measures	Results with SGLT2i
Garg et al (2020)	66 T2DM with stable CAD (most on βblockers)	Post-hoc exploratory analysis of EMPA-HEART Cardiolink-6	Empaglifozin 10 mg/day (Vs. placebo)	6 months	24h HRV indexes (SDNN, SDANN, r-MSSD, HF, LF, LF:HF)	No ≠ Vs. placebo but SDNN and SDANN increased with placebo
Shimizu W et al (2020)	96 T2DM post AMI (most on βblockers)	Randomized double-blind placebo-controlled trial	Empagliflozin 10 mg/day (Vs. placebo)	24 weeks	24h HRV indexes (SDNN, SDANN, r-MSSD, HF, LF, LF:HF)	↑ SDNN, SDANN, r-MSSD, HF, LF ↓ LF:HF and abnormal heart rate turbulence in SGLT2i group No differences inter-group
Ang L et al (2021)	41 T2DM	Randomized cross-over single blinded	Dapagliflozin 5-10 mg/day (Vs. glimepiride 2-4 mg/day)	12 + 12 weeks	3 CARTs, LF, HF, SDNN, r-MSSD	No change in CARTs and short HRV indexes
Van Bommel et al (2020)	44 T2DM	Secondary analysis of RED trial (randomized, open- label, comparator- controlled, parallel-group	Dapagliflozin 10 mg/die (Vs. gliclazide 30 mg/day)	12 weeks	LF, HF, LF:HF, SDNN, r-MSSD	No changes intra- or differences inter-group
Ishibashi F et al (2022)	113 T2DM with HbA1c >8%	Open comparison study	Dapaglifozin 5-10 mg/day, Tofoglifozin 20 mg/day, Ipraglifozin 25-50 mg/day (Vs. non SGLT2i)	3 years	CV-RR	No change in CV-RR (but in NCS)
Hamaoka T et al (2022)	18 T2DM with and without heart failure	Uncontrolled, open study	Dapagliflozin 5 mg/day	12 weeks	MSNA, cardiac BRS, sympathetic BRS	↓ MSNA (greater in HF Vs. non HF) No change in cBRS and sBRS
Sardu C et al (2022)	324 T2DM with VVS	Multicenter observational study	Empagliflozin 10-25 mg/day Canagliflozin 100 mg/day (Vs. non SGLT2i)	1 year	24h HRV (LF:HF) 123 ^I -MIBG myocardial scintigraphy VVS recurrence	↓ HR, ↓LF/HF and \uparrow 24 HRV \uparrow H/M _{late} ↓ VVS recurrence (vasodepressor) (-45%)

AMI, acute myocarfial infarction; CART, cardiovascular autonomic reflex test; HF high frequency; H/M_{late}: late heart-to-mediastinum ratio; HRV, heart rate variability; LF, low frequency; LF:HF, Low to high frequency ratio; 123¹-MIBG, ¹23I-meta- iodobenzylguanidine; NCS, nerve conduction study; r-MSSD, the root-mean-square of the difference between successive RRs; SDNN, the standard deviation of NN intervals; SDANN, standard deviation of the 5 minute average NN intervals; T2DM, type 2 diabetes mellitus; VVS: vaso vagal syncope

 Effects of empagliflozin versus placebo
 Shimizu W, Kubota Y, Hoshika Y, Mozawa K, Tara S, Tokita Y, Yodogawa K, Iwasaki YK, Yamamoto T, Takano H,

 on cardiac sympathetic activity in acute
 Tsukada Y, Asai K, Miyamoto M, Miyauchi Y, Kodani E, Ishikawa M, Maruyama M, Ogano M, Tanabe J;

 myocardial infarction patients with type 2
 EMBODY trial

 diabetes mellitus: the EMBODY trial
 Cardiovasc Diabetol. 2020;19:148.

The 1st randomized double-blind placebo-controlled trial to evaluate the effects of empagliflozin vs placebo on HRV indices. 96 patients with type 2 diabetes, 2 weeks post-AMI (most of them taking beta-blockers) randomized to empagliflozin 10 mg or placebo



In the empagliflozin group most primary outcomes improved, in the placebo group only SDNN improved. Among secondary outcomes, heart rate turbulence improved only in the empagliflozin group whereas cardiac MIBG scintigraphy improved in both groups. No differences in the intergroup comparison.

Effect of SGLT-2 inhibitors on cardiac autonomic function in type 2 diabetes mellitus: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials

Patoulias D, Katsimardou A, Fragakis N, Papadopoulos C, Doumas M.

Acta Diabetol. 2023 Jan;60(1):1-8

Do SGLT-2 inhibitors affect CAN indices, in patients with T2DM? Meta-analysis of 4 parallel group or cross-over RCTs with SGLT2i Vs. placebo or active comparator (247 subjects with T2DM) Primary efficacy outcome: LF/HF, secondary efficacy outcomes: SD of all 5 min mean normal RR intervals (SDANN) and square root of the mean of the sum of the squares of differences between adjacent RR intervals (r-MSSD).

Results: SGLT-2 inhibitor treatment did not have a significant effect on LF/HF, on SDNN or on r-MSSD.

Overall risk of bias graded as low across the selected RCTs.



Fig. 3 Effect of SGLT-2 inhibitors compared to control on SDNN in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus

• Not homogeneous autonomic measures and methods (short and 24h HRV)

- Spectral analysis methodology
- Differences in BMI, HbA1c, comorbidities, primary/secondary outcomes

EMPYREAN study is ongoing (134 patients with T2D, strict selection criteria, 24h HRV outcomes and 24 weeks duration) Motoki H et al ESC Heart Fail. 2020 Oct;7(5):3134-3141 SGLT2-inhibitors reduce the cardiac autonomic neuropathy dysfunction and vaso-vagal syncope recurrence in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: the SCAN study

Sardu C, Massimo Massetti M, Rambaldi P, Gatta G, Cappabianca S, Sasso FC, Santamaria M, Volpicelli M, Ducceschi V, Signoriello G, Paolisso G, Marfella R

Metabolism. 2022 Jun 19:155243.

In a prospective multicenter study, in 324 T2DM patients with vaso-vagal syncope (VVS), divided into 161 SGLT2i-users vs. 163 Non-SGLT2i users, 24h HRV indexes, 123¹-MIBG myocardial scintigraphy and VVS recurrence were evaluated at 1 year follow-up.



Conclusions: The indexes of cardiac denervation predicted the VVS recurrence, while the SGLT2i reduced the risk of VVS recurrence.

Sudden cardiac death (SCD) and autonomic modulation by SGLT2i

Epidemiology

50% of all cardiovascular deaths in the general population

Zeppenfeld K at al for ESC Scientific Document Group. Eur Heart J. 2022 Aug 26:ehac262.



Population-based studies (3610 cases of SCD, 249,225 participants)

Relative Rink:

2.10 (1.35, 3.28)

240(134.433)

2,13 (1,74, 2,62)

1.91 (1.09, 3.33)

2.00 (1.55, 2.58)

1.59 (1.07, 2.39)

1.57 (0.85. 2.88)

1.40 / 0.94: 2.371

2.24 (1.23, 4.07)

2,40 (1,60, 3,40)

86 (1.06, 3.23)

1.00 (0.20, 4.00)

2.02 (1.81, 2.25)

RR=2.02

(95% CI)

Aune D et al Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis. 2018;28:543-556.

ANS and SCD

- ANS recognized as a promoter of SCD
- Proarrhythmic conditions as heart failure and MI characterised by SNS hyperactivity
- Experimental evidence of autonomic role but not conclusive association with diabetic CAN or with autonomic indices after MI

Suarez GA et al J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2005;76:240–245; Barthel P et al Diabetes Care. 2011;34:1833-7; Jungen C et al Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol 2019;317: H1328-H1341

Sudden cardiac death (SCD) and autonomic modulation by SGLT2i

SGLT2i and SCD

- Experimental evidence of anti-arrhythmogenic effect of SGLT2i
- Post hoc analysis of DAPA-HF shows a lower risk of VA, cardiac arrest, or SD
- Meta-analyses show conflicting results
- EMPA-ICD trial in T2D with ICD/CRT-D reduced the number of VA
- Ongoing clinical trial in patients with CRT device (ERASE-trial)
- No consistent mechanism identified for the antiarrhythmic properties.

	BOLT	26	Place	ede		Risk Ratio		Rink	Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Exents.	Total	Eventa	Total	Weight.	M-H, Fixed, 95% C	L	M-H.EW	rd. 95% Cl
CANVAS 2017	. 9	2886	5	1441	10.9%	0.90 (0.30, 2.66)			
CANVAS-R 2017	0	2904		2903	2,5%	0.33 (0.01, 8.18)	_		
CREDENCE 2019	2	2200	- 2	2597	3.3%	1.00 (0.14, 7.08)			
DAPA-OKD 2020		2148	2	2549	3.3%	0.50 (0.05, 5.51)			
DAPA-HF 2018	18	2368	27	2368	44.2%	0.67 (0.37, 1.21)		-8-	•
DECLARE - TIMI 58-2018	14	8574		8569	26.2%	0.87 (0.43, 1.79)			
DEFINE-HF 2019	0	131		132	2.4%	0.34 (0.01, 8.17)			
EMPA-REG OUTCOME 2015	- 4	4687	2	2333	4.4%	1.00 (0.18, 5.43)			
EMPA-REG RENAL 2014	0	419	3	319	2,8%	0.25 (0.01, 6.21)	-	1	
Total (95% CI)		26318		22411	100.0%	0.74 [0.50, 1.08]		-	RR=0.74 (0.50-1.08
Total events	48		57					625	
Helerogeneity: Chi* = 1.66. df =	8(P+0.9	11.71	0%				0.05		11 10
Test for overall effect Z = 1.55	(P=0:12)						0.01	Education (SCE T20)	Environm Inducement

Sfairopoulos D et al Europace 2022; 24:20-30

ICD: implanted cardioverter defibrillator CRT: cardiac resynchronization therapy device

dum-glucose cotransporter-2 inhibitors



Curtain JP et al *Eur Heart J. 2021;42:3727-3738* Li H-L et al *Cardiovasc Diabetol. 2021;20:100* Fernandes GC et al *Heart Rhythm 2021;18:1098-105* Yin Z et al *Front. Cardiovasc. Med. 2022;9:902923* Sfairopoulos D et al *Europace 2022; 24:20-30* von Lewinski D et al *Am Heart J. 2022 Apr;246:152-160*

Atrial fibrillation (AF) and autonomic modulation by SGLT2i Atrial Fibrillation prevalence in diabetes



Li H-L et al Cardiovasc Diabetol. 2021;20:100.

Yin Z et al Front. Cardiovasc. Med. 2022;9:902923.

Hypoxia in diabetic kidney



SGLT2i effects on chronic hypoxia of kidney associated with diabetes



Shimizu W et al *Cardiovasc Diabetol. 2020;19:148.* Sano M & Goto S. *Circulation. 2019;139:1985–1987* Lambers Heerspink HJ et al. *Diabetes Obes Metab 2013;15:853–62.* **B** Diabetes C Diabetes with SGLT2i Glucose GLUT2 SGLT2 Channe Globos Glubs Glucose Glocome Giucose Glocost Glucose Glucose trythropoietin Erythropole

Dapaglifozin increased red cell mass, reticulocytes and EPO production





SGLT2i might restore EPO producing cells by reducing hypoxia

Mechanisms of enhanced renal EPO synthesis by SGLT2i: hypoxia and/or non-hypoxia-related mechanisms

SGLT2i

↓ Na and glucose transport and O2 consumption in the proximal tube (↓ cortical hypoxia) and ↑ Na and glucose transport and O2 consumption downstream (↑ in the deep cortex and outer medulla)



Improvement in cortical hypoxia with SGLT2i confirmed with qualitative studies, not confirmed with quantitative studies; cortico-medullary junction hypoxia not found in non-diabetics

Starvation state with upregulation of nutrients deprivation signals as SIRT1 that activates HIF-2



Still a hypothesis needing ad hoc studies

HIF-2 α , hypoxia-inducible factor-2 α

Packer M Eur Heart J. 2023 Dec 21;44(48):5027-5035

Influences of SGLT2i on autonomic function in diabetes and conditions of sympathetic overactivity



Modified from Spallone V & Valensi P. *Diabetes Metab. 2021;47:101224.*

Acute effects of dapagliflozin on renal oxygenation and perfusion in type 1 diabetes with albuminuria: A randomised, double-blind, placebocontrolled crossover trial

Laursen JC, Søndergaard-Heinrich N, de Melo JML, Haddock B, Rasmussen IKB, Safavimanesh F, Hansen cs, Størling J, Larsson HBW, Groop PH, Frimodt-Møller M, Andersen UB, Rossing P



EClinicalMedicine. 2021 Jun 28;37:100895.





Interpretation: High dose of dapagliflozin acutely improved renal cortical oxygenation without changing renal perfusion or blood flow as for an increase in renal cortical oxygenation consequent to $\downarrow O_2$ demand due to a reduced tubular transport workload in the proximal tubules.

It remains to be determined whether the same effects can be achieved with lower doses, with chronic treatment and in type 2 diabetes.

Effects of SGLT2i on OSA



Tang Y et al Nutr Diabetes. 2019;9:32. Neeland IJ et al Diabetes Care 2020;43:3007-3015.

Effects of SGT2i on autonomic related measures and outcomes in humans



Updated from Spallone V. 32nd Neurodiab Annual Meeting Bergen 2022

Neural and humoral connection between the heart and the kidneys via the CNS and the potential therapeutic target sites in cardiorenal syndrome



Integration of cardiac and renal afferents (and other visceral inputs) in CNS (NTS, PVN and RVLM) to regulate sympathetic outflow is critical for heart and kidney function under normal conditions and the cardiorenal syndrome.

Innervated kidneys play as the origin as well as the target of SNS activation.

Patel KP et al Circ Res. 2022;130:1601-1617.

Ruolo protettivo dei SGLT2 inibitori

Agenda

- Il contesto: impatto epidemiologico e prognostico della neuropatia autonomica cardiovascolare (CAN) nel diabete
- Pertinenza della CAN alla malattia cardiovascolare e renale
- Dal beneficio nei trial clinici ai meccanismi fisiopatologici: il ruolo del SNA nelle azioni dei SGLT2i
- Prospettive terapeutiche: farmaci protettivi sul SNA nel diabete



Disease-modifying intervention for CAN

Lifestyle intervention

- Weight loss obtained by bariatric surgery or caloric restriction diet in subjects with T2DM (7 most uncontrolled and non randomized studies)
- Physical exercise mainly in T2DM and without CAN (29 studies, 7 RCTs)

Disease-modifying treatments

- glycaemic control effective in T1DM (DCCT and EDIC)
- multifactorial cardiovascular risk intervention effective in T2DM (Steno-2 trial, ACCORD study) (not in ADDITION)

•α-lipoic acid effective in DEKAN study (*Ziegler 1997*), and in 1 open study (Tankova 2004), with borderline efficacy (*Lee 2017*), ineffective in combination with allopurinol and nicotinamide (*Pop-Busui 2013*); improvement in DB (predicted by ACE inhibitors use) in NATHAN 1 study (*Ziegler 2016*); safe

•ARIs (no ponalrestat) effective in a meta-analysis on HRV and 30:15, more effective with HbA1c <8% and duration <9.5 years; safe (Hu 2014)

C-peptide in T1DM

•Benfotiamine in T2DM (open study)

•Vitamin E

ω-3 PUFA treatment in T2DM
Vitamin D in T1DM (open study)
Vitamin B12 in T2DM (open study, not in 1 RCT)

β-blockers (metoprolol)

 ACE inhibitors and ARB (quinapril, trandolapril, losartan) effective in 4/7 small studies (2 controlled)

Weight loss

Alam I et al Auton Neurosci. 2009;151:168-73; Sjoberg N et al J Appl Physiol (1985). 2011;110:1060-4; Maser RE et al Surg Obes Relat Dis. 2013;9:221-6; Kokkinos A et al Obes Surg. 2013;23:31-8; Lips MA et al Eur J Endocrinol. 2013;159:383-90; Straznicky N et al. Front. Physiol. 2016;7:516; Casellini CM et al PLOS ONE 2016; 11(5): e0154211.

Physical exercise

Voulgari C et al Metab Clin Exp. 2013;62:609–21 ; Villafaina S et al Curr Diab Rep 2017;17: 110; Rôling M et al Curr Diab Rep 2017; 17: 125; Bhati P et al Diabetes Metab Syndr 2018; 12:69–78 ; Bellavere F et al Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis. 2018;28:226–233; Cassidy S et al Diab Vasc Dis Res. 2019;16:69–76; Bönhof Gl et al Diabetologia 2022;65:1048-1057

Glycaemic control

Martin CL et al Diabetes Care 2014;37:31–38; Gaede P et al Diabetologia 2016;59:2298– 2307; Charles M et al Diabetologia 2013; 56:101–108 ; Tang Y et al Diabetes Care 2021;44:164–173

α-lipoic acid and ARI

Ziegler D et al Diabetes Care 1997; 20: 369–373; Tankova et al Rom J Intern Med. 2004;42:457-64; Lee Si et al Diabetes Metab J 2017;41:275-283; Pop-Busui R et al Diabetologia. 2013;56:1835–1844; Ziegler D et al J Diabetes Complications. 2016;30:350–356; Hu X et al PLoS ONE 2014; 9(2): e87096

C-peptide, Vitamin E, Benfotiaminne, Vitamin D, β -blockers, ω -3 PUFA, Vitamin B12

Johansson BL et al Diabet Med. 2000;17:181–189:, Manzella D et al Am J Clin Nutr 2001;73: 1052-1057; Serhiyenko VA et al Int J Endocrinol (Ukraine) 2020;16:257-264; Ebbehoj E et al Diabetologia 2002; 45: 965-975; Santini V et al Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis. 2007;17:12-8:; Silva LSD et al Front Endocrinol (Lausanne). 2020;11:605681; Yoshioka K et al Horm Metab Res. 1995;27:43-4; Didangelos T et al Nutrients. 2021;13:395

ACEi, ARB and others

Kontopoulos AG et al Diabetes Care 1997; 20: 355-361; Malik RA et al Lancet 1998; 352: 1978-1981; Ahryos VG et al Acta Cardiol 1998; 53: 201-209; Maser RE et al J Diabetes Complications. 2003;17:286-91; Kubba S et al Neurol India 2003; 51: 355-358; Didangelos TP et al J Diabetes Complications 2006; 20: 1-7; Didangelos TP et al J Diabetes Res. 2017;2017:6719239.

✓ HR and ↑ HRV indexes and BRS
 ↑ sympathovagal balance

GLP-1 Receptor Agonists and autonomic nervous system





Trials with GLP1-RA and autonomic measures as outcome

Author (year)	Population	GLP1-RA	Follow-up (weeks)	Heart Rate	Autonomic measures
Jaiswal (2015)	46 T2DM (32 with DPN)	Exenatide (Vs. glargine)	18	=	No change in CARTs, HRV indexes
Nakatani (2016)	60 T2DM	Liraglutide (Vs. lixisenatide)	4	↑ with liraglutide	个 24h LF:HF with liraglutide
Kumarathurai (2017)	39 T2DM with CAD	Liraglutide (Vs. placebo)	12	\uparrow	\downarrow 24h HRV indexes
Smits (2017)	57 T2DM	Liraglutide (Vs. placebo)	12	\uparrow	No change in HRV indexes
Cacciatori (2017)	28 T2DM	Exenatide-ER	26	\uparrow	\uparrow DB \downarrow VR \downarrow LF:HF
Tonneijck (2018)	34 T2DM	Lixisenatide (Vs glulisine)	8	=	No change in LF:HF
Hansen (2019)	99 T1DM	Liraglutide (Vs. placebo)	24	\uparrow	No change in CARTs, HRV indexes
Brock (2019)	39 T1DM with DPN (35 with OH)	Liraglutide (Vs. placebo)	26	=	No change in HRV indexes, BRS, CVT
Nyström (2019)	62 T2DM (with heart failure)	Liraglutide (Vs. glimepiride)	18	\uparrow	No change in 24h HRV indexes

BRS: Baroreflex sensitivity; CAD: Coronary artery disease; CART, cardiovascular autonomic reflex test; CVT: Cardiac vagal tone; DB: Deep breathing; DPN: Diabetic polyneuropathy; HRV, heart rate variability; LF:HF: Low to high frequency ratio; OH: Orthosttaic hypotension; T2DM, type 2 diabetes mellitus; VR: Valsalva ratio

Kumarathurai P et al Diabetes Care 2017;40:117–124; Nakatani Y et al Diabetes Care 2016;39:e22-23; Cacciatori V et al J Endocr Soc 2017; 2:53-62; Tonneijck Hypertension. 2018;72:314-322 Hansen CS et al Front. Endocrinol. 2019;10:242 Brock C et al Br J Clin Pharmacol. 2019; 85:2512-2523 Nyström T et al Endocrinol Diab Metab. 2019;2:e00058

Discrepancies in the available preclinical and clinical findings suggesting possible species-specific patterns of GLP1 receptors in addition to differences between GLP1-RAs.

Effect of the Glucagon-Like Peptide-1 Receptor Agonists on Autonomic Function in Subjects with Diabetes: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis

Greco C, Santi D, Brigante G, Pacchioni C, Simoni M.

Diabetes Metab J. 2022 Apr 12.

Meta-analysis of 6 studies (182 individuals with diabetes, mostly type 2) exploring GLP-1RA actions on autonomic measures

Heart rate (HR) mean difference

Mean Difference Mean Difference Setore SD Total IN Fixed, 955 CI Study or Subgra N. Fixed, 95% Cl. Yea 1.1.1 Exematick Jailowal 2006 Cacciatori 2018. 113.6 23 28 86.7 28 20 78.6% -3103436, 1.841 2016 50 \$1.7% -2.90[-4.22, -1.74] Subhekal (95% CD Heterogeneity: Chi#=0.90, df =1 (P = 0.34), (P = 0%) Test for overal effect Z = 4.72 IP + 0.000011 1.1.2 Litradiuble Alumanathural 2017 74.83 12.05 79.2 Brock 2019 Northan 2019 71.2 9.5 Hansen 1019 72 11 5 : 65 78.9 11.7 60 6.0% Subtotal (95% CD Hetarogeneity: ChiP = 1.33, df = 3 (P = 0.73); P = 0% Test for overal effect Z = 4.17 P = 0.0001 Total (95% Cb 182 100.0% -3.45 [-4.57, -2.32] Hatorogeneity: ChP = 5.29, df = 5 (P = 0.30; P = 6%) Test for everal effect Z = 6.05 (P + 0.00001) Baters Text for subgroup differences: ChP = 3.66, df = 1.(P = 0.06), P = 67.3%

LF/HF ratio mean difference

Disability of Fatherine	-	Before	Table		After	1.44		Mean Difference	No.	Msan Difference
Study or Subgroup	Rectaol.	su	TOTAL	Mean	50	105,8	weight	IV, PGRIDORI, 55% CF	TOM	IV, Nation, 35% CI
1.5.1 Comatiale										
Jaismal 2006	4.5	3.9	22	4.0	6.7	22	14.7%	-3.461-528-2.46	2006	
Cancentori 2018 Subtrical (95% CB	4.50	0.4	28 50	2.39	0.4	28 50	32.4%	2,1831.97.2.39	2018	-
Hotexo generity Tan [#] *	2.26.01	1212	df= 1	P+01	0: #=6	196				
Test for overall effect	Z=1.08	d ^e = 0.2	89		000.000					
15.2 Lirzghride										
Cemarathurai 2017	7.41	389	30	7.50	5.01	30	10.5%	-0.183245.2090	2017	
Brack 2019	2.65	2 106	19	12	2.9047	19	22.9%	0.3551.34.2.040	2019	
Hansen 2019	3.5	10155	50	415	10732	50	11.7%	0.851415.2.85	2019	
Subdatal (95% CS		0.000	99	1.10	1000	96	52.9%	1.06(.121.132)	20.00	+
Hoteopeneity: Tau*: Test for overall effect	0.00, Cr Z = 0.09	P=0.0	. df= 2 I)	@=0.	15), I*= B	5				
Tetal (95% C)			\$49			149	100.0%	8.62 [-0.86, 2.93]		+
Hotoxopoticity: Tout-	175.0	4-134	4.45-	1 (P= 0	D085; #-	71%				10 t t t t
Test for overall effect	Z=0.83	P=0.43	13	MON STREET	1.11					-10 -5 0 6 10
Tool for metanician off	farane an	Chit-1	111	1.00-	0.30 8.	110				Water thepere

HR increased after treatment with GLP1-RA (个3.45 bpm, P<0.001), whereas LF/HF did not change



Influences of therapeutic interventions on autonomic function in diabetes

Spallone V & Valensi P. Diabetes Metab. 2021;47:101224.

Conclusioni

- L'interesse/conoscenza sui circuiti nervosi che regolano il controllo autonomico di cuore e reni è in costante crescita con un ruolo centrale riconosciuto all'innervazione renale.
- Il diabete offre un punto di osservazione privilegiato in quanto associato sia alla disfunzione/neuropatia autonoma conseguente a fattori metabolici sia alla sindrome cardiorenale.
- Gli studi disponibili suggeriscono il coinvolgimento del sistema nervoso autonomo nelle molteplici azioni dei SGLT2i.
- La complessità della rete impedisce di esplorarla completamente quando si mira a un singolo outcome.
- Un approccio multidisciplinare e integrato alla ricerca auspicabile.

La prospettiva autonomica può aiutare a comprendere la malattia cardiorenale e gli effetti dei nuovi farmaci, favorire le scelte terapeutiche e aprire nuove opportunità di ricerca.





Thanks to



University of Rome Tor Vergata **Department of Systems Medicine** Endocrinology Davide Lauro Roberto Morganti Cinzia D'Amato Carla Greco Laura Cacciotti Federica Di Gennaro Susanna Longo Diana Corradini Alice Sun Chiara Cefalo Valentina Izzo Mariateresa Staltari Martina Leone Marika Menduni Giuseppe Seminara Ilenia D'Ippolito

Neurology Gerola Marfia, Giorgia Matalunti

Department of Biomedicine and Prevention, Genetics Paola Borgiani, Cinzia Ciccacci, Andrea Latini



University of Pavia Luciano Bernardi



Pietro Cortelli Giulia Pierangeli



Giorgio Cruccu Andrea Truini



Semmelweis University Peter Kempler Anna Körei



Società Italiana di Diabetologia

Neuropathy Study Group of Italian Society of Diabetology (SID)



Italian Society for Neurovegetative Research



Diabetic Neuropathy Study Group (NEUROdiab)

Thank you for attention

vispa2@gmail.com vincenza.spallone@uniroma2.it

Carotid body: an emerging target for cardiometabolic co-morbidities

Thakkar P et al *Exp Physiol. 2023 May;108(5):661-671.*



• Activation of GLP1Rs in the carotid body acutely suppresses the peripheral chemoreflex-evoked sympathetic response

Pauza AG et al Circ Res. 2022 Mar 4;130(5):694-707.

New perspectives in research on autonomic neural mechanisms of cardio-pulmonary-renal disease and development of autonomic-focused therapeutics

Workshop of US National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute and the National Institutes of Health Knowledge gaps and research priorities in autonomic neural cardiopulmonary regulation for multidisciplinary collaborations*



*basic, translational, and clinical researchers in neuroscience and cardiopulmonary disorders

Mehra R et al JACC Basic Transl Sci. 2022;7:265-293



•ANS is a key regulator of cardiopulmonary and sleep/circadian pathophysiology •Understanding cardiopulmonary SNS and PNS structure/function over disease time-course and cell type interactions is essential.

- •In vitro ANS and **experimental** and computational **integrative studies are necessary**.
- •Clarifying sex-and race-specific cardiopulmonary and sleep/circadian influence on **ANS response to neurotherapeutics interventions** is critical for personalized strategies.

Sudden cardiac death (SCD) and autonomic modulation

SNS hyperactivity



Chatterjee NA, Singh JP. Europace. 2021;23:1708-1721. Huang B et al J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol. 2014;25:1249-56.

Clinical autonomic nervous system laboratories in Europe

A joint survey of the European Academy of Neurology and the European Federation of Autonomic Societies

Habek M, Leys F, Krbot Skorić M, Reis Carneiro D, Calandra-Buonaura G, Camaradou J, Chiaro G, Cortelli P, Falup-Pecurariu C, Granata R, Guaraldi P, Helbok R, Hilz MJ, Iodice V, Jordan J, Kaal ECA, Kamondi A, Pavy Le Traon A, Rocha I, Sellner J, Senard JM, Terkelsen A, Wenning GK, Berger T, Thijs RD, Struhal W, Fanciulli A; Collaborators of the European Network of Clinical ANS laboratories.

Eur J Neurol. 2022 Dec;29(12):3633-3646.

